

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,137	1	1/20/2003	Neal W. Meyer	10017494-1	9081
22879	7590	12/20/2004		EXAM	INER
		RD COMPANY	THOMAS, TONIAE M		
	-	4 E. HARMONY RO PERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				2822	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/718,137 Examiner	MEYER ET AL. Art Unit			
·	Toniae M. Thomas	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply	or the outer shock with the o	onespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b. cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	eptember 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-28 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is objected in the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of the drawing (s) is objected to by the Education of t	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is a first Office action on the merits of Application Serial No.
 10/718,137. Currently, claims 1-28 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I(A) (claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 28 September 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 11-17 and 23-28 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Claims 18-22 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "single-crystal silicon wafer" lacks antecedent basis (claim 5, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,137 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Forbes (US 6,093,623).

The Forbes patent discloses a method of making a multi-layered storage structure (figs. 2-9 and accompanying text). The method comprises the steps of: forming a device layer 15 on a silicon wafer 12 (fig. 2 and col. 3, lines 37-44 and lines 48-57); cleaving the device layer 14 from the wafer 12 (fig. 4 and col. 4, line 65 - col. 5, line 18); repeating the forming and cleaving to provide a plurality of cleaved device layers (figs. 7, 8 and col. 6, lines 10-35); and bonding the cleaved device layers together to form the multi-layered storage structure (figs. 7, 8 and col. 6, lines 10-35).

The forming step comprises implanting devices on the wafer, as recited in claim 2 (fig. 5 and col. 5, lines 47-53).

Transistors are formed in the device layer, as recited in claim 3 (figs. 5, 6, col. 5, lines 47-53, and col. 6, lines 1-9).

¹ Presumably the silicon is single crystal silicon, since Forbes does not state otherwise.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,137

Art Unit: 2822

The step of repeating comprises forming the plurality of cleaved device layers from the same single-crystal silicon wafer 12, as recited in claim 5 (fig. 7 and col. 6, lines 10-25).

In one embodiment, the cleaving comprises ion-implantation induced layer splitting of the wafer, as recited in claim 8 (col. 5, lines 9-18).

The storage structure comprises memory or a processor, as recited in claim 10 (fig. 9 and col. 6, lines 40-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes in view of Farrens et al. (US 6,180,496 B1).

Forbes does not teach that the bonding of device layer 29 to the cleaved device layer as shown in figure 7 comprises plasma-activated bonding, as recited in claim 6. The Farrens et al. patent (Farrens) discloses a method for bonding semiconductor wafers and other materials to one another using an in situ plasma wafer bonding method (col. 5, lines 4-62). The method comprises: placing wafers in a plasma chamber equipped with bonding apparatus; exposing the wafers to a plasma; and without breaking vacuum, placing the

Application/Control Number: 10/718,137

Art Unit: 2822

wafer surfaces together, thereby bonding the substrates together (col. 5, lines 38-43). The resulting bonded materials are free from macroscopic and microscopic voids, and the initial bond is much stronger than conventional bonding techniques (abstract, lines 9-13).

Since both the Forbes and Farrens patents are from the same field of endeavor, the purpose for which Farrens is relied upon would have been recognized in the pertinent reference of Forbes by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Forbes by bonding the device layer to the cleaved device layer using a plasma-activated bonding method, as taught by Farrens, for the following reasons: the initial bond formed between the two layers when a plasma-activated bonding method is used is much stronger than the bond resulting from conventional bonding techniques; and the layers that are bonded together using a plasma-activated bonding method are free from macroscopic and microscopic voids.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes in view of Usenko (US 6,352,909).

Forbes does not teach that the cleaving comprises anodic etching and annealing of the wafer, as recited in claim 9. Usenko discloses a method for cleaving a single crystal silicon wafer (figs. 2A-2G and col. 3, line 41 - col. 4, line 27). The cleaving method comprises an anodic etching of the single crystal

wafer 1 (fig. 2D and col. 3, line 66 - col. 4, line 5), and a subsequent annealing of the wafer (figs. 2F, 2G, and col. 4, lines 17-27).

Page 6

Since both the Forbes and Usenko patents are from the same field of endeavor, the purpose for which Usenko is relied upon would have been recognized in the pertinent reference of Forbes by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As explained above, Forbes discloses cleaving the device layer using ion-implantation induced layer splitting of the wafer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the method of Forbes by cleaving the device layer from the wafer using anodic etching and annealing, as taught by Usenko, since anodic etching and annealing is an alternate cleaving method that may be used in place of ion-implantation induced layer splitting of the wafer.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not anticipate, teach or suggest a method of making a multi-layered storage structure substantially as claimed, wherein the device layer comprises vertical diodes and the storage structure is a vertical memory structure, as recited in claim 4, or wherein the bonded device layers form a three-dimensional cross-point array memory structure, as recited in claim 7.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toniae M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TMT

09 December 2004

Mary Wilczewski Primary Examiner